20 | 23 JUNE 2022

Liège I Théâtre de Liège I Belgium www.escvs2022.com







20 I 23 JUNE 2022

Liege | Théâtre de Liège | Belgium www.escvs2022.com

COVID-19 in Heart Transplant recipients

Dr TCHANA-SATO VINCENT

Department of cardiovascular surgery CHU LIEGE







20 I 23 JUNE 2022

Liege | Théâtre de Liège | Belgium www.escvs2022.com

No disclosure



20 I 23 JUNE 2022

Liege | Théâtre de Liège | Belgium www.escvs2022.com

Introduction

- Solid organ transplant recipients (SOTR) and particularly heart transplant recipients (HTR) are at high risk of severe COVID-19 infection due to their comorbidities and immunosuppressed state
- Early in the pandemic, impact of COVID-19 on SOTR was not well established (limited data)
- There were early reports suggesting similar clinical presentation of the disease in SOTR and the general population



20 I 23 JUNE 2022

Liege | Théâtre de Liège | Belgium www.escvs2022.com

Possible Mechanisms of Cardiovascular Injury Due to Covid-19



Cardiovascular Manifestations



Beyond the respiratory system

Pathophysiological Effects

_

Reference number and publi- cation year	Country	Type of study	Patient profile (age in years, sex)	Diagnosis	
Ahluwalia et al. [11]	USA	Retrospective study, single center	26 M, 49 M, 50 M, 58 M, 61 F	Heart transplant	
Ammirati et al. [12]	Italy	Case report	61 M	Heart kidney transplant	
Berg et al. [28]	USA	Case report	66 M	Heart transplant	
Ballout et al. [29]	USA	Retrospective study, single center	21 M, 23 M, 32 M	Heart kidney transplant, transplant	Heart
Bosch et al. [13]	Germany	Case report	48 M	Heart transplant	
Carraffa et al. [14]	Italy	Retrospective study, single center	50 F, 62 M, 65 M, 69 M, 71 M, 82 M	Heart transplant	
Decker et al. [15]	Germany	Case report	62 M	Heart transplant	
Felldin et al. [30]	Sweden	Retrospective study, multiple centers	22 M, 50 M, 62 F, 64 M, 65 M, 67 M	Heart kidney transplant, transplant	Heart
Fernandez-Ruiz et al. [31]	Spain	Retrospective study, single center	38 M, 63 M, 64 M, 67 M	Heart transplant	
Fried et al. [25]	USA	Case report	51 M	Heart kidney transplant	
Fung et al. [16]	USA	Case report	42 M	Heart transplant	
Gozzi-Silva et al. [32]	Brazil	Retrospective study, single center	55 F, 62 M	Heart transplant	
Guerreiro et al. [33]	Brazil	Retrospective study, single center	22 F, 31 M, 55 M	Heart transplant	
Holzhauser et al. [7]	USA	Retrospective study, single center	59 F, 75 M	Heart transplant	
Hsu et al. [27]	USA	Case report	39 M	Heart kidney transplant	
Isik et al. [34]	Turkey	Case report	55 M	Heart transplant	Caco reports and limited
Jang et al. [17]	USA	Case report	67 M	Heart transplant	Case reports and limited
Kadosh et al. [18]	USA	Case report	56 M	Heart transplant	
Kates et al. [10]	USA	Case report	73 M	Heart transplant	case corios at the hoginning
Li et al. [26]	China	Retrospective study, single center	43 M, 51 M	Heart transplant	case series at the beginning
Lima et al. [19]	USA	Retrospective study, single center	45 M, 62 M, 67 M, 68 M, 68 F,	Heart transplant	of the pandemic
Mangiameli et al. [35]	France	Case report	55 M	Heart transplant	or the paracritic
Martens et al. [36]	Belgium	Case report	60 M	Heart transplant	
Mathies et al. [9]	Germany	Case report	77 M	Heart transplant	
Mattioli et al. [8]	Italy	Case report	62 M	Heart transplant	
Schreiber et al. [37]	USA	Case report	67 F	Heart transplant	
Schtruk et al. [20]	Brazil	Retrospective study, single center	47 M, 54 M	Heart transplant	
Soriano et al. [38]	Brazil	Retrospective study, single center	35 F, 37 M, 44 M, 50 M, 51 M, 52 F, 67 M, 69 M, 73 M, 74 M, 79, M	Heart transplant	
Soquet et al. [21]	France	Case report	23 F	Heart transplant	
Sperry et al. [22]	USA	Case report	37 M	Heart transplant	
Tchana-Sato et al. [39]	Belgium	Retrospective study, single	56 F, 59 M	Heart transplant	
Vaidya et al. [23]	USA	Case report	61 M	Heart transplant	
Vilaro et al. [24]	USA	Case report	50 M	Heart kidney transplant	

Clinical course and challenging management of early COVID-19 infection after heart transplantation: case report of two patients



Vincent Tchana-Sato^{1*+}, Arnaud Ancion²⁺, Julien Tridetti², Natzi Sakalihasan¹, Marie Pierre Hayette³, Olivier Detry² Philippe Delvenne⁵, Philippe Amabili⁶, Marc Senard⁶, Olivier Hougrand⁵, Delphine Szecel¹, Jean-Paul Lavigne¹, Elie Minga Lowampa¹, Charlotte Ponte¹, Isabelle Maquoi⁶, Philippe Morimont⁷, Melissa Van Den Bulck⁷, Marie Helene Delbouille⁴, Jean Olivier Defraigne¹⁺ and Patrizio Lancellotti²⁺

Abstract

Background: There are limited data on Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in solid organ transplant patients, especially in heart transplant recipients, with only a few case reports and case series described so far. Heart transplant recipients may be at particular high risk due to their comorbidities and immunosuppressed state.

Case presentation: This report describes the clinical course and the challenging management of early COVID-19 infection in two heart transplant recipients who tested positive for the SARS-CoV-2 virus in the perioperative period of the transplant procedure. The two patients developed a severe form of the disease and ultimately died despite the initiation of an antiviral monotherapy with hydroxychloroquine coupled with the interruption of mycophenolate mofetil.

Conclusions: These two cases illustrate the severity and poor prognosis of COVID-19 in the perioperative period of a heart transplant. Thorough screening of donors and recipients is mandatory, and the issue of asymptomatic carriers needs to be addressed.

Keywords: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-Cov-2), Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), Heart transplantation, Asymptomatic carrier, Case report



20 I 23 JUNE 2022

Liege | Théâtre de Liège | Belgium www.escvs2022.com

COVID-19 in HTR: CHU Liege

• From March 2020 to December 2021: 23 patients



Study	Year	Country	Date of inclusion	Sample size	Mortality
Cohorts					
Ahluwaliaetal.	2020	USA	March 10-May 15	5	20%
Al-Darzi et al.	2020	USA	March 13-May 1	6	0%
Bottio 1 et al.	2020	Italy	February 21–June 30	47	30%
Bottio 2 et al.	2020	Italy	July 1-August 30	6	0%
Caraffa et al.	2020	Italy	Not reported	6	33%
Cavagna et al.	2020	Italy	February	5	40%
Coll et al.	2020	Spain	February 20-July 13	69	22%
Felldin et al.	2020	Sweden	February 21–June 22	6	33%
Garcia-Cosio et al.	2020	Spain	February 28-April 28	13	23%
Hoek et al.	2020	TheNetherlands	Not reported	4	25%
lacovoni et al.	2020	Italy	February-March	26	27%
Kates et al.	2020	USA	March 7-May 14	57	14%
Ketcham et al.	2020	USA	March 21-April 22	13	15%
Latif et al.	2020	USA	March 1–April 24	28	25%
Limaet al.	2020	USA	March 14-April 19	5	0%
Rivinius et al.	2020	Germany	March-June	21	33%
Singhvi et al.	2020	USA	March 1-May 15	22	23%
Trapani et al.	2020	Italy	February 21–June 22	53	36%
Case reports	2020	Several countries ^a	January-June	23	4%

. .

Barcelona, Spain

-

KEYWORDS

COVID-19, heart transplantation, systematic review

Clinical transplantation 2021

Coronavirus disease 2019 in heart transplant recipients: Risk factors, immunosuppression, and outcomes

BACKGROUND: COVID-19 continues to inflict significant morbidity and mortality, particularly on

patients with preexisting health conditions. The clipis suppression regimen in heart transplant recipient **METHODS:** We included the first 99 heart transp and followed patients until resolution. We collo ies, vital signs, and outcomes for included patie baseline with severe disease were compared us transplant.

RESULTS: The median age was 60 years, 25% w transplant to infection was 5.6 years. Overall, remained asymptomatic. During the course of il tinal symptoms were common. Tachypnea, oxy markers were predictive of severe course. Age use of the combination of calcineurin inhibito more severe disease compared to the combina (adjusted OR = 7.3, 95% CI 1.8-36.2). Among infection, acute kidney injury was common and **CONCLUSIONS:** We present the largest study to da

>42% of black transplant recipients

>Atypical symptoms are common

>Overall fatality rate of 15%

>Use of PSI and Prednisone associated with increased risk of severe disease

ing common atypical presentations and a high case ratancy rate or 24% among nospitalized patients and 16% among symptomatic patients.

Genuardi MV, JHLT 2021





IMMUNOSUPPRESSION MANAGEMENT

Society/Reference	Origin	Date	Guideline	Recommendation
British Transplantation Society [188]	UK	January 2021	Guidance on the management of transplant recipients diagnosed as having or suspected of having COVID-19	Outpatients: - Stop antiproliferative agents (MMF/azathioprine) - Review total burden of immunosuppression and consider reduction of CNIs - High or increased dose steroid is NOT recommended at this stage Hospitalized patients: - Stop antiproliferative agents (MMF/azathioprine) Consider reducing or stopping CNIs - Dexamethasone 6 mg daily for 10 d Patients requiring ventilatory support: - Stop antiproliferative agents (MMF/azathioprine) - Dramatically reduce or stop CNIs - Consider dexamethasone, as above
International Society of Heart and Lung Transplantation [189]	International	February 2021	Guidance from the International Society of Heart and Lung Transplantation regarding the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic	 For transplant recipients, consider holding MMF, mTOR in hibitors, or azathioprine while admitted with moderate/severe ill ness.
Transplantation Society [190]	International	March 2021	Guidance on Coronavirus	 Dexame thas one 6 mg daily for up to 10 d can be
		m	ore studies to c	ommendations >> Need for larify the best approach
American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases [191]	US	March 2021	Clinical Best Practice Advice for Hepatology and Liver transplant Providers During the COVID-19 Pandemic: AASLD Expert Panel Consensus Statement	 Consider lowering the overall level of immunosuppression, particularly antimetabolite dosages (eg, azathioprine or MMF) based on general principles for managing infections in transplant recipients and to decrease the risk of superinfection. Monitor kidney function and CNI levels. Adjust immunosuppressive medications based on severity of COVID-19 and risk of graft rejection and renal injury.
Canadian Society of Transplantation [192]	Canada	April 2021	Consensus guidance and recommendations for organ donation and transplantation services during COVID-19 pandemic	 Based on current evidence, we suggest a temporary adjustment of maintenance immune suppression for hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19. Data on optimal immune-suppression adjustment in patients with COVID-19 are lacking, may vary, and may not be required depending on disease severity and physician judgment.
American Society of Transplantation [193]	US	June 2021	2019-nCoV (Coronavirus): FAQs for Organ Transplantation	 The impact of immunosuppression on COVID-19 is not currently known but decreasing immunosuppression may be considered for infected recipients who have not had recent rejection episodes. Many providers have decreased or discontinued cell cycle inhibitors or reduced CNI levels, but comparative data regarding these interventions are not yet available. Whe ther adjunctive corticosteroid therapy for patients with severe ARDS may be beneficial is
Q	uante M, T	ransplantat	ion proceedings 2021	also unknown.

Efficacy of the COVID-19 vaccine in heart transplant recipients: what we know and what we ignore

Maria Generosa Crespo-Leiro¹*, Eduardo Barge-Caballero¹, and Finn Gustafsson²

¹Department of Cardiology, Complexo Hospitalario Universitario A Coruña (CHUAC), Instituto de Investigación Biomedica A Coruña (INIBIC), Centro de Investigacion Biomedica en Red Cardiovascular (CIBERCV), A Coruña, Spain; and ²Department of Cardiology, University of Copenhagen, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

In summary, the immunological response to the vaccine is probably somewhat more complex than measuring antibody titre, as the T-cellular response and studies with long-term clinical follow-up are needed to assess efficacy in both preventing COVID-19 and severe disease, and safety. The issue of the third dose is a promising possibility and given the preliminary encouraging experience, deserves to be explored in future research. Also, the modification of immunosuppression, e.g. withdrawal of MMF in order to achieve a greater response, is a very controversial issue as the risk– benefit in relation to triggering rejection related to changes in immunosuppression is still unknown. Meanwhile, continued research, taking advantage of all available clinical data and evaluating immunogenicity, clinical efficacy and exploring strategies to improve vaccine response in vulnerable populations, such as HT patients, will help us to understand and control the COVID-19 pandemic.

European Journal of Heart Failure, 2021

Publication	Study population	Vaccine, dose	Outcome	Results, comments
Boyarsky et al®	658 SOT recipients	Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna, one dose	"Antibody response	357/658 (54%) with detect- able IgG at median 29 days after Dose 2. Older age, use of mycoph enolate, use of Pfizer BioNTech vaccine and time since transplant was associated with negative serology.
Yi et al ¹⁰	145 KT recipients	Pfizer-BioNTech and Mod- erna, one dose	Antibody response (unknown test)	8/145 (5.5%) with anti-spike IgG measured prior to Dose 2. No additional data re: timing from vaccine dose, risk factors.
Benotmane et al ¹¹	242 KT recipients	Moderna, one dose	*Antibody response	26/242 (10.7%) with detect- able anti-spike IgG at 28 days from Dose 1. Shorter time from trans- plant and use of anti-thy- mocyte globulin, mycophenolate and steroids associated with negative serology by univariate analysis.
Grupper et al. ¹³	136 KT recipients	Pfizer BioNTech, two doses	Antibody response	51/136 (37.5%) with detect- able IgG at median 16 days after Dose 2. Negative serology associated with increasing age, pre-trans- plant dialysis duration, liv- ing donor, high dose steroids in previous 12 months, mycophenolate, triple immunosuppression, low lymphocyte count, higher serum creatinine and lower GFR by univariate analysis
Sattler et al ¹²	39 KT recipients	Pfizer BioNTech, two doses	^a Antibody and T-cell response	 anarysis 1/39 (2.6%) had IgG sero- conversion at 8 days follow- ing Dose 2. Prevalence of spike specific CD4 cells was similar to controls 36/39 (92%), spike specific CD8 cell response only noted in 2/29 (5.13%) No alloreactivity noted.
Peled et al ¹⁴	77 HT recipients	Pfizer BioNTech, two doses	*Antibody response	14/77 (18%) with detectable RBD IgG at mean 21 days following Dose 2. Mycophe- nolate use associated with lower odds of seroconver- sion in multivariate analy- sis. No serious adverse events noted by 41 days from Dose 2.
Havlin et al ¹⁵	48 LT recipients	Pfizer BioNTech, two doses		from Dose 2.

transplantation.

Aslam et al.; JHLT 2021





Liege | Théâtre de Liège | Belgium www.escvs2022.com

Immune paresis in SOT recipients

- Weaker humoral and cellular response than expected to an antigenic stimulus
- Association between immune paresis and antimetabolites (MM)
- Selective prophylactic administration of monoclonal antibodies?





Liege | Théâtre de Liège | Belgium www.escvs2022.com

Conclusion

• HTR are at high risk of morbidity and mortality from COVID-19 compared to the general population

• Outcomes are improving compared to the early phase of the pandemic (management strategies, better knowledge of the disease)

• Challenges remain regarding the timing of the vaccination and appropriate adjustement in immunosuppression



20 I 23 JUNE 2022

Liege | Théâtre de Liège | Belgium www.escvs2022.com





20 I 23 JUNE 2022

Liege | Théâtre de Liège | Belgium www.escvs2022.com



Chirurgie Cardiaque, Vasculaire et Thoracique CHU de Liège



20 I 23 JUNE 2022

Liege | Théâtre de Liège | Belgium www.escvs2022.com





SARS-CoV-2 in Solid Organ Transplant Recipients: A Structured Review of 2020

Markus Quante^a, Linda Brake^a, Alexander Tolios^{b,c,d}, Andrea Della Penna^a, Christoph Steidle^a, Magdalena Gruendl^e, Anna Grishina^f, Helene Haeberle^g, Martina Guthoff^{h,i,j}, Stefan G. Tullius^k, Alfred Königsrainer^{a,i}, Silvio Nadalin^a, and Markus W. Löffler^{a,l,m,n*}

Background. The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic is challenging health systems all over the world. Particularly high-risk groups show considerable mortality rates after infection. In 2020, a huge number of case reports, case series, and consecutively various systematic reviews have been published reporting on morbidity and mortality risk connected with SARS-CoV-2 in solid organ transplant (SOT) recipients. However, this vast array of publications resulted in an increasing complexity of the field, overwhelming even for the expert reader.

Methods. We performed a structured literature review comprising electronic databases, transplant journals, and literature from previous systematic reviews covering the entire year 2020. From 164 included articles, we identified 3451 cases of SARS-CoV-2—infected SOT recipients.

Results. Infections resulted in a hospitalization rate of 84% and 24% intensive care unit admissions in the included patients. Whereas 53.6% of patients were reported to have recovered, cross-sectional overall mortality reported after coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) was at 21.1%. Synoptic data concerning immunosuppressive medication attested to the reduction or withdrawal of antimetabolites (81.9%) and calcineurin inhibitors (48.9%) as a frequent adjustment. In contrast, steroids were reported to be increased in 46.8% of SOT recipients.

Conclusions. COVID-19 in SOT recipients is associated with high morbidity and mortality worldwide. Conforming with current guidelines, modifications of immunosuppressive therapies mostly comprised a reduction or withdrawal of antimetabolites and calcineurin inhibitors, while frequently maintaining or even increasing steroids. Here, we provide an accessible overview to the topic and synoptic estimates of expectable outcomes regarding in-hospital mortality of SOT recipients with COVID-19.

Quante M, Transplantation Proceedings 2021



Figure 1. Screening pathway for donor and recipient screening at time of organ offer.



*consider CT chest for donor and decline if concerning for COVID-19

** Exceptions can be made on a case-by-case basis as noted in Table 2

- Recommend deep respiratory sample in lung donor for SARS-CoV-2 testing
- N-95 mask or equivalent plus face shield in operating room for lung transplant
- Current data does not suggest a change in induction or maintenance

immunosuppression

ISHLT Guidelines 2021



Guzik T; cardiovascular research 2020



Clerkin et al.; Circulation 2020